

**PCT**WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION  
International Bureau

## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<b>(51) International Patent Classification <sup>6</sup> :</b> <b>C08F 4/20, 32/04, 32/08</b>	<b>A1</b>	<b>(11) International Publication Number:</b> <b>WO 99/60030</b> <b>(43) International Publication Date:</b> 25 November 1999 (25.11.99)
<b>(21) International Application Number:</b> PCT/US99/10910 <b>(22) International Filing Date:</b> 18 May 1999 (18.05.99)  <b>(30) Priority Data:</b> 60/085,981 19 May 1998 (19.05.98) US 60/118,865 5 February 1999 (05.02.99) US  <b>(63) Related by Continuation (CON) or Continuation-in-Part (CIP) to Earlier Applications</b> US 60/085,981 (CON) Filed on 19 May 1998 (19.05.98) US 60/118,865 (CON) Filed on 5 February 1999 (05.02.99)  <b>(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US):</b> ADVANCED SPORTS MATERIAL, LLC [US/US]; 2531 Nina Street, Pasadena, CA 91107 (US).  <b>(72) Inventors; and</b> <b>(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only):</b> GIARDELLO, Michael, A. [US/US]; 497 E. California Boulevard #321, Pasadena, CA 91106 (US). LASCH, Jonathan, G. [US/US]; 335 S. Sierra Madre Boulevard #108, Pasadena, CA 91107 (US). CRUCE, Christopher, J. [US/US]; 13100 Kirkham Road	<b>#202, Poway, CA 92064 (US).</b> MACLEOD, Jessie, G. [US/US]; Apartment D, 2027 Euclid, Santa Monica, CA 90405 (US).  <b>(74) Agents:</b> BENGTTSSON, W., Patrick et al.; Pillsbury Madison & Sutro, LLP, 1100 New York Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20005 (US).  <b>(81) Designated States:</b> AE, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).  <b>Published</b> <i>With international search report.</i>	
<b>(54) Title:</b> POLYOLEFIN COMPOSITIONS HAVING VARIABLE TOUGHNESS AND/OR HARDNESS  <b>(57) Abstract</b>  The present invention provides novel polyolefin compositions having variable hardness and/or toughness properties. The polyolefin compositions include: a polyolefin prepared by the metathesis of an olefin monomer using a ruthenium or osmium carbene catalyst; and one or more hardness and/or toughness modulators. The polyolefin is preferably poly(dicyclopentadiene), or poly-DCPD. Also provided are articles of manufacture, such as molded parts, produced from the polyolefin compositions. The disclosed compositions are useful in marine, recreational and sports products.		

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece			TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	NZ	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon			PL	Poland		
CN	China	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		

## POLYOLEFIN COMPOSITIONS HAVING VARIABLE TOUGHNESS AND/OR HARDNESS

### FIELD OF THE INVENTION

5       The present invention is directed generally to novel polyolefin compositions having variable toughness and/or hardness properties, and to articles of manufacture produced therefrom. More specifically, the present invention relates to dicyclopentadiene-based polymers (poly-DCPD) comprising various toughness and/or hardness modulators.

### 10       BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

During the past twenty-five years, research efforts have enabled the elucidation of olefin metathesis reactions catalyzed by transition metal complexes. In particular, certain ruthenium and osmium carbene compounds have been identified as effective catalysts for olefin metathesis reactions such as, for example, ring opening  
15   metathesis polymerization (ROMP). Such metathesis catalysts have been previously described in, for example, United States Patent Nos. 5,312,940; 5,342,909; 5,728,917; 5,710,298; and 5,831,108; PCT Publications WO 97/20865 and WO 97/29135; and in United States Provisional Patent Application No. 60/115,358, filed January 8, 1999 by inventors Steven P. Nolan and Jinkun Huang entitled "Novel Metathesis Catalyst  
20   Compositions and Methods for Their Use," the disclosures of which are incorporated herein by reference.

Examples of olefin monomers that may be polymerized using the aforementioned metathesis catalysts include dicyclopentadiene (DCPD), in addition to other strained cyclic olefin compounds. Polymer compositions, and articles or parts  
25   produced therefrom, are useful in a wide variety of applications because of their unique physical properties and ease of fabrication. In particular, poly-DCPD compositions show promise for applications requiring a combination of toughness, hardness, elasticity, rebounding qualities, marine anti-fouling and/or corrosion

resistance, among other properties. In addition, the low viscosity of DCPD-based compositions makes these resins particularly well-suited to the fabrication of complex shapes and composites.

Numerous common polymer additives, including pigments, dyes, plasticizers, rubber particles and antioxidants, among others, have been included in polymer compositions in an effort to vary or preserve over time one or more physical properties of the polymer. However, these additives may also effect unintended or undesirable changes in one or more physical properties. Thus, it has not been possible using traditional high-viscosity thermoset resins to vary the hardness, toughness or surface "feel" of the resin compositions, or parts thereof, through the addition of these additives without compromising one or more desirable properties of the native polymer. In addition, the surface "feel" or texture, as well as the elasticity, toughness and hardness of a polymer composition, or parts made thereof, may be important considerations in certain commercial applications.

In light of the foregoing, there exists a need for polymer compositions, and articles of manufacture thereof, which may be formulated to have variable toughness and/or hardness for use in a wide range of commercial applications. This is especially so for materials related to the sports, recreational and marine industries. Preferably, the compositions' properties are not compromised by the incorporation of additives giving rise to the beneficial toughness and/or hardness characteristics.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to novel polyolefin compositions having variable toughness and/or hardness properties, to methods of making the compositions, and to articles of manufacture produced therefrom. In particular, the present invention provides for toughness/hardness modulating additives, which may be added to polyolefin resins. These toughness/hardness modulators permit controllable modulation of the surface "feel", toughness and/or hardness of a polyolefin article or part. Such modified polyolefin compositions are useful in a variety of applications and products, particularly those in the sports, recreational, and marine fields.

In certain preferred embodiments, the polyolefin compositions of the present invention are prepared by the ring-opening metathesis polymerization (ROMP) of

dicyclopentadiene (DCPD) and related strained cyclic olefins, polymerized with a metal catalyst system. Ruthenium and osmium carbene compounds have been identified as effective catalysts for olefin metathesis reactions such as, for example, ROMP. Such metathesis catalysts are now well known in the art.

5        In preferred embodiments, the present invention involves ROMP reactions where DCPD resin compositions are cast into product molds or infused into a fiber preform. For certain applications, pigments, dyes, antiozonants and/or antioxidants, among other additives, may optionally be included.

10        The present invention provides, in certain preferred embodiments, polyolefin compositions containing toughness and/or hardness modulators. These polymer compositions produce articles or parts that are, for example, as tough and impact resistant as the best thermoplastics, but have the ease of fabrication of thermosets. In addition, the resin system of the present invention is tolerant to additives, fillers and fibers, such as glass, carbon, fiberglass and Kevlar, among others. As such, the  
15        modulating additives are dispersed in the polyolefin resin matrix to controllably alter various physical properties of the native polyolefin.

20        One aspect of the present invention is a novel composition comprising a polyolefin, prepared by the metathesis of an olefin monomer using a ruthenium or osmium carbene catalyst, and one or more toughness and/or hardness modulators. These compositions possess variable hardness, toughness and/or surface "feel" properties. Preferably, the polyolefin is poly-DCPD.

25        Another aspect of the present invention is an article of manufacture, such as a molded part, comprising a polyolefin, prepared by the metathesis of an olefin monomer using a ruthenium or osmium carbene catalyst, and one or more toughness and/or hardness modulators.

These and other aspects of the present invention will be apparent to one skilled in the art in light of the following detailed description of the preferred embodiments.

### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

30        The present invention is directed to polyolefin compositions having variable toughness and/or hardness properties, and to articles of manufacture made therefrom. In certain embodiments, the present invention provides toughness/hardness

modulating additives, which may be added to polyolefin resins to alter various physical properties. More specifically, addition of toughness and/or hardness modulators allows controllable modulation of the surface "feel", hardness and/or toughness of a polyolefin article. In particular cases, a modulator may serve as both a toughness and as a hardness modulator. The polyolefin compositions of the present invention are useful in a wide variety of applications, particularly for use in sports, recreational and marine equipment products.

The polyolefin compositions of the present invention may be prepared by the metathesis of olefin monomers (e.g., DCPD) and related strained cyclic olefins, polymerized with a metal catalyst system. Ruthenium and osmium carbene compounds have been identified as effective catalysts for olefin metathesis reactions such as, for example, ring opening metathesis polymerization (ROMP). Such metathesis catalysts are known in the art and have been previously described in, for example, United States Patent Nos. 5,312,940; 5,342,909; 5,728,917; 5,710,298; and 5,831,108; PCT Publications WO 97/20865 and WO 97/29135; and in United States Provisional Patent Application No. 60/115,358, filed January 8, 1999 by inventors Steven P. Nolan and Jinkun Huang entitled "Novel Metathesis Catalyst Compositions and Methods for Their Use," all of which are incorporated herein by reference.

The catalyst:olefin monomer ratio in the present invention is preferably between about 1:100 and about 1:100000. More preferably, the catalyst:monomer ratio is between about 1:1000 and about 1:10000 and, most preferably, is between about 1:3000 and about 1:8000. Particularly preferred metal catalysts include, but are not limited to, bis(tricyclohexylphosphine) benzyldiene ruthenium dichloride, bis(tricyclohexylphosphine) dimethylvinylmethylidene ruthenium dichloride and bis(tricyclopentylphosphine) dimethylvinylmethylidene ruthenium dichloride.

Preferred hardness modulators include, for example, rubber-like or elastomeric additives such as polybutadienes, polyisoprenes, and the like. Polybutadienes and polyisoprenes of various sources, and of various number-average molecular weights ( $M_n$ ) or weight-average molecular weights ( $M_w$ ), may be utilized in the present invention as hardness modulators. Unexpectedly, the poly-DCPD resins of the present invention allow compositions containing polybutadiene to be clear or transparent, rather than opaque or translucent. This is a result of the fact that

polybutadiene becomes incorporated into the polymer backbone during the metathesis reaction, leading to little or no phase separation of the polybutadiene particles. The hardness modulators of the present invention, when added to a polyolefin resin composition, alter the hardness and/or surface "feel" of the composition compared to the unmodified or native polyolefin. In addition to butadiene- and isoprene-based elastomers, other hardness modulators include plasticizers such as dioctyl phthalate and various molecular weight hydrocarbon, fluorocarbon or similar jellies, greases and waxes; carboxylic acids and salts thereof; and co-monomers such as norbornene, cyclooctadiene, cyclooctene, cyclohexenyl norbornene, norbornadiene, di(methylcyclopentadiene), cyclopentene and/or methylcyclopentene.

The amount of hardness modulator included in the polyolefin compositions of the present invention is preferably about 0.1%-60% by weight of the olefin monomer to which it is added. More preferably, the amount of hardness modulator is about 1%-20% by weight of the olefin monomer and, most preferably, is about 2%-10%. In certain cases, hardness modulators may be included in amounts outside the preferred ranges. The determination of the appropriate amount of hardness modulator in a given polyolefin composition can be readily determined by one skilled in the art based on, for example, the degree of microphase separation desired.

Preferred toughness modulators include silicones such as, for example, polysiloxane compositions of various viscosities, molecular weights and functionalities. Particularly preferred toughness modulators include poly(dimethylsiloxane) and poly(diphenylsiloxane). Polyolefin compositions comprising such toughness modulators possess significantly increased toughness properties without significant concomitant losses in heat distortion temperature (HDT). In the case of poly-DCPD compositions comprising low molecular weight poly(dimethylsiloxane), marked improvements in thermomechanical properties are observed.

The amount of toughness modulator included in the polyolefin compositions of the present invention is preferably about 0.1%-20% by weight of the olefin monomer to which it is added. More preferably, the amount of toughness modulator is about 0.5%-10% by weight of the olefin monomer and, most preferably, is about 1%-5%. For example, poly-DCPD resins containing 3 parts per hundred low

molecular weight (MW) poly(dimethylsiloxane) (Shin Etsu DMF-50) possess notched Izod impact values in excess of 4 ft.-lb./in. and HDT values above 130°C. In certain cases, toughness modulators may be included in amounts outside the preferred ranges. The determination of the appropriate amount of toughness modulator in a given polyolefin composition can be readily determined by one skilled in the art based on, for example, the degree of phase separation desired and the degree of transparency/translucency desired. It is well known in the art that phase separation contributes to the toughness of a polyolefin material. The foregoing preferred ranges have been determined to provide polyolefin articles possessing increased toughness.

For certain applications and products (e.g., weighted golf club heads), polyolefin hybrid compositions further comprising density modulators may be preferred. Hybrid modified poly-DCPD articles can combine, for example, increased density with increased toughness. In these applications, preferred density modulators include metallic density modulators where increased density polyolefin compositions are desired, and microparticulate (e.g., microsphere) density modulators where either increased or decreased density polyolefin compositions are desired.

Examples of metallic density modulators include, but are not limited to, powdered, sintered, shaved, filed, particulated or granulated metals, metal oxides, metal nitrides and/or metal carbides, and the like. Preferred metallic density modulators include, among others, tungsten, tungsten carbide, aluminum, titanium, iron, lead, silicon oxide, and aluminum oxide. The density modulator is dispersed in the polyolefin resin matrix by stirring or mixing. The density, wear resistance and/or "feel" of a metal-filled poly-DCPD composite may be varied in a controllable manner. In particular, poly-DCPD compositions containing aluminum metal powder have a soft surface "feel", while poly-DCPD compositions containing aluminum oxide have a rough surface and are extremely wear-resistant. In the case of metal-filled poly-DCPD composite resins, articles or parts made therefrom may be produced to be isotropic, where the metallic density modulator is dispersed evenly throughout the article or part, or anisotropic, where the metallic density modulator is dispersed unevenly (either through the use of layers or a density gradient).

The amount of metallic density modulator included in the polyolefin compositions of the present invention is preferably about 1-5400 parts per hundred



parts resin (phr), by weight. More preferably, the amount of metallic density modulator is about 200-2000 phr and, most preferably, is about 300-1000 phr.

In the case of microparticulate density modulators, the poly-DCPD resin compositions of the present invention have numerous advantages over traditional  
5 thermoset polymers (e.g., epoxies, vinyl esters, unsaturated polyesters, urethanes, and silicones) in the fabrication of low- to medium-density syntactic foams. Specifically, these poly-DCPD resins combine low viscosity (<20 centipoise), long gelling times (>20 minutes), high inherent toughness, and high tensile strength. The low density and viscosity of the poly-DCPD resins of the present invention permit better wetout  
10 and packing of the microspheres, resulting in improved physical properties and, simultaneously, decreased densities (preferably, about 5%-30% decrease), compared to current state-of-the-art conventional resin systems. Preferred microparticulate density modulators include, but are not limited to, glass, thermoplastic (either expandable or pre-expanded), thermoset, and/or ceramic/silicate microspheres.

15 The amount of microparticulate density modulator included in the polyolefin compositions of the present invention is preferably about 1-100 phr by weight. More preferably, the amount of microparticulate density modulator is about 10-60 phr and, most preferably, is about 20-50 phr.

Preferably, in the case of poly-DCPD, the compositions of the present  
20 invention possess the following properties: tensile strength of at least about 9000 psi; elongation of at least about 4.5%; tensile modulus of at least about 350,000 psi; flexural strength of at least about 14,000 psi; and flexural modulus of at least about 30,000 psi. These values are typically 25-30% greater than those of commercially available poly-DCPD materials, such as Meton<sup>®</sup> or Telene<sup>®</sup>.

25 The most preferred olefin monomer for use in the present invention is dicyclopentadiene (DCPD). Various DCPD suppliers and purities may be used, such as Lyondell 108 (94.6% purity), Velsicol UHP (99+% purity), B.F. Goodrich Ultrene<sup>®</sup> (97% and 99% purities), and Hitachi (99+% purity). DCPD sources of lower purities may also be used. Other suitable olefin monomers include cyclooctadiene (COD,  
30 DuPont), cyclooctene (COE, Alfa Aesar), cyclohexenylnorbornene (Shell), norbornene, di(methylcyclopentadiene) (Aldrich), and norbornadiene (Elf Atochem).

The UV and oxidative resistance of the polyolefin compositions of the present invention may be enhanced by the addition of various antioxidants. Preferably, one or more antioxidants are included in the polyolefin resin composition at a level of about 0.1-15 phr. More preferably, the antioxidant(s) are present at a level of about 1-10 phr and, most preferably, 3-8 phr. Exemplary antioxidants include, for example, 4,4'-methylenebis (2,6-di-tertiary-butylphenol) (Ethanox 702®; Albemarle Corporation), 1,3,5-trimethyl-2,4,6-tris (3,5-di-tert-butyl-4-hydroxybenzyl) benzene (Ethanox 330®; Albemarle Corporation), octadecyl-3-(3',5'-di-tert-butyl-4'-hydroxyphenyl) propionate (Irganox 1076®; Ciba-Geigy), as well as Irganox 1135® (Ciba-Geigy), and the Tinuvin® (Ciba-Geigy) series of UV stabilizers. Antiozonants, such as Flexzone® (Uniroyal) may also be added.

In addition, a suitable inhibitor such as, for example, triphenylphosphine (TPP), tricyclopentylphosphine, tricyclohexylphosphine, triisopropylphosphine, pyridine, or other Lewis base, may be added to the olefin monomer. In the case of TPP inhibitor, it is preferably included in an amount of about 10-200 mg: per 64 g olefin monomer. More preferably, the amount of TPP is about 30-100 mg per 64 g olefin monomer and, most preferably, is about 50-80 mg per 64 g olefin monomer. In the case of other inhibitors, such as alkylphosphines and pyridine, the amount of inhibitor is preferably about 0.1-50 mg per 64 g olefin monomer, more preferably about 1-40 mg:64 g olefin monomer, and most preferably is about 1-30 mg per 64 g olefin monomer.

Also, various pigments or dyes may be included in the polyolefin resin compositions of the present invention for applications where color is desired. Preferred pigments include Ferro® and Dayglo® products, in an amount of about 0.05-0.5 parts per hundred of polyolefin resin. A particularly preferred class of dyes are photochromic dyes.

The polyolefin resins of the present invention are amenable to the manufacture of composites and are tolerant of additives, fillers and fibers including, but not limited to, carbon, glass, fiberglass and aramid (e.g., Kevlar® and Twaron®) and other polymer fibers (e.g., Spectra®).

The polyolefin compositions, and parts or articles of manufacture prepared therefrom, may be processed in a variety of ways including, for example, Reaction

Injection Molding (RIM), Resin Transfer Molding (RTM) and vacuum-assisted variants such as SCRIMP (Seemann Composite Resin Infusion Molding Process), open casting, rotational molding, centrifugal casting, filament winding, and mechanical machining. These processing methods are well known in the art. Various molding and processing techniques are described, for example, in PCT Publication WO 97/20865, the disclosure of which is incorporated herein by reference.

In mold casting processes, the mold may be constructed of various materials including, for example, aluminum, Teflon<sup>®</sup>, Delrin<sup>®</sup>, high- and low-density polyethylenes (HDPE and LDPE, respectively), silicone, epoxy, aluminum-filled epoxy, polyurethane and aluminum-filled polyurethane, plaster, polyvinylchloride (PVC), and various alloys of stainless steel.

The mold temperature is preferably about 20-100°C, more preferably about 30-80°C, and most preferably about 40-60°C. The molded polyolefin part or article of the present invention may also be subjected to a post-cure heating step. Preferably, the post-cure involves heating to about 60-160°C for about 10 minutes - 3 hours. More preferably, the post-cure involves heating to about 80-150°C for about 30 minutes - 2 hours and, and most preferably, involves heating to about 100-140°C for between about 45 and about 90 minutes.

The polyolefin compositions of the present invention are useful in the production of sports, recreational, and marine products and equipment which may provide performance advantages over other materials already in use. Examples of such products and applications include, but are not limited to, the following: golf tees, clubs (including weighted club heads); shafts; gradient shafts (where the formulation varies along the length of the club shaft); balls, and carts; basketball backboards; tennis rackets, squash rackets, racquetball rackets, and badminton racquets; snow boards, surfboards, boogie boards, skis, backboards, sleds, toboggans, snow shoes; baseball bats, bat coatings and end-caps, balls, and helmets; football helmets; hockey helmets, sticks, pads, and pucks; roller blade shoes, wheels, pads, and helmets; bicycle parts, frames, helmets, and trispokes; marine applications (e.g., hulls, coatings, oars, propellers, rudders, keels, masts, jet skis, boat fascia, jet skis, covers, kayaks, and canoes); camping equipment (e.g., tent stakes and supports, tubs,

matches, coolers, wedges for splitting wood, axes, hatchets, handles, shovels, and picks); pool cues, pool tables, and pool balls; diving boards, pool liners, lake liners, ladders, steps, floating lounge chairs and tables, pool cleaning equipment, and lounge chairs; motorcycles, motorcycle parts, helmets, and wind screens; archery bows and arrows; guns, rifle cases, butts, bullets, shotgun pellets, decoys, ammunition and shell cases; martial arts protective padding and weapons; soccer goal posts and pads; auto racing helmets, car parts, and bodies; polo mallets, croquet mallets and balls, and cricket bats; toys, puzzles, models, games, and novelty items including model, miniature, or toy trains, airplanes, helicopters, cars, motorcycles, rockets, spacecraft, and other model or toy vehicles, powered or unpowered; dolls and action figures and accessories therefor, recreational architectural models, two- and three-dimensional puzzles, game pieces, boards, dice, poker chips, and other game accessories and components; bowling balls and pins; tether ball pole, net supports in volleyball; All Terrain Vehicles (ATV); lawn darts, quoits, and horseshoes; and knives, knife handles, and swords.

The polyolefin compositions of the present invention are useful in the production of foams of various densities which are useful in numerous applications where properties such as weight, buoyancy, acoustic impedance, anticorrosion, antifouling, and low moisture absorption are considerations. Of particular note, the polyolefin compositions of the present invention are particularly useful in the production of golf club driver heads, exhibiting the performance of titanium drivers with the sound and "feel" of wood drivers.

Other commercial applications for the present invention include, for example, ballistics and blast containment, industrial coatings, architectural coatings, and other scratch resistant coatings, adhesives, inks, paints, and gel coats. Additionally, the compositions of the present invention are useful in polymer mixtures, interpenetrating polymer networks, fabrics, composites (fiber- or particle-reinforced), blends, alloys, elastomers, ionomers, and dendrimers, among others.

The compositions of the present invention are also useful in the manufacture of wafer carriers and other semiconductor handling equipment, as well as parts for the construction of semiconductor fabrication facilities, such as walls, fascia, sinks, and

decking. Additionally, these materials are useful as low k dielectrics and components for chemical/mechanical planarization (CMP).

In the case of polyolefin compositions or parts comprising metallic density modulators (i.e., metal composites), the present invention permits the advantageous control of balance, weight and density localization. These capabilities provide for the enhancement of the performance of, for example, golf club heads and putters and composite tooling, through selective addition and location of metallic density modulators.

In the case of polyolefin compositions or parts comprising microparticulate density modulators (i.e., syntactic foam), advantages of the compositions of the present invention are evidenced in the lightweight support and flexion enhancement of sports equipment such as archery bows, bats, sticks, and shafts. Other preferred uses for the syntactic foams of the present invention include hulls and other components of boats and submersibles, core materials for skis and surf-, snow-, and skateboards, and lightweight reinforcement of safety equipment such as pads and helmets.

## EXAMPLES

### Example 1

A 500 mL round bottom (RB) flask was charged with 250g DCPD (Velsicol UHP), 15g Ethanox<sup>®</sup> 702 (Albemarle), and a magnetic stir-bar. The mixture was stirred and heated to 35°C to yield a clear light yellow solution. A separate 100 mL RB flask was charged with 50g DCPD (Velsicol UHP), 280mg triphenylphosphine (TPP) inhibitor, and a magnetic stir-bar. The mixture was stirred and heated to 35°C to yield a clear colorless solution. To this latter solution was added (with stirring) 300mg bis(tricyclohexylphosphine) benzylidene ruthenium dichloride metathesis catalyst (sieved through a 45 mesh size sieve). After approximately 5 minutes, the mixture evolved a clear dark amber/purple color. This solution was then added to the solution in the 500 mL RB flask with continued stirring. After approximately 3 minutes, the mixture turned into a clear amber solution. The resin solution was then poured into a mold that had been previously formed into the shape of a golf club head. The mold had been heated to approximately 40°C prior to the addition of the resin.

Within 30 minutes, the resin appeared to be gelled and within 1 hour the golf club head was removed from the mold. The golf club head was then subjected to a post-cure at 130°C for a period of 1 hour and cooled to ambient temperature before a golf club shaft was attached thereto.

5

#### Example 2

A 500 mL RB flask was charged with 250g DCPD (Velsicol UHP), 15g Ethanox® 702 (Albemarle), and a magnetic stir-bar. The mixture was stirred and heated to 35°C to yield a clear light yellow solution. A separate 100 mL RB flask was charged with 50g DCPD (Velsicol UHP), 400mg triphenylphosphine (TPP), and a  
10 magnetic stir-bar. The mixture was stirred and heated to 35°C to yield a clear colorless solution. To this latter solution was added (with stirring) 350mg bis(tricyclohexylphosphine) benzyldiene ruthenium dichloride (sieved through a 45 mesh size sieve). After approximately 5 minutes, the mixture evolved a clear dark amber/purple color. This solution was then added to the solution in the 500 mL RB  
15 flask with continued stirring. After approximately 3 minutes, the mixture turned into a clear amber solution. The resin solution was then poured into a mold that had been previously formed into the shape of a golf club head. The mold had been heated to approximately 40°C prior to the addition of the resin. Within 30 minutes, the resin appeared to be gelled and within 1 hour the golf club head was removed from the  
20 mold. The golf club head was then subjected to a post-cure at 130°C for a period of 1 hour and cooled to ambient temperature before a golf club shaft was attached thereto. This formulation greatly decreased the shrinkage in the face of the club head, probably as a result, in part, of the increased amounts of TPP inhibitor and/or catalyst.

#### Example 3

25 A 500 mL RB flask was charged with 300g DCPD (Velsicol UHP), 35g Irganox® 1076 (Ciba), and a magnetic stir-bar. The mixture was stirred and heated to 30°C to yield a clear light yellow solution. A separate 100 mL RB flask was charged with 50g DCPD (Velsicol UHP), 450mg triphenylphosphine (TPP), and a magnetic stir-bar. The mixture was stirred and heated to 35°C to yield a clear colorless  
30 solution. To this latter solution was added (with stirring) 400mg

bis(tricyclohexylphosphine) benzylidene ruthenium dichloride (sieved through a 45 mesh size sieve). After approximately 5 minutes, the mixture evolved a clear dark amber/purple color. This solution was then added to the solution in the 500ml RB flask with continued stirring. After approximately 3 minutes, the mixture turned into a clear amber solution. The resin solution was then poured into a mold that had been previously formed into the shape of a golf club head. The mold had been heated to approximately 40°C prior to the addition of the resin. Within 30 minutes, the resin appeared to be gelled and within 1 hour the molded golf club head was removed from the mold. The golf club head was then subjected to a post-cure at 130°C for a period of 1 hour and cooled to ambient temperature before a golf club shaft was attached thereto. This club head exhibited a slightly softer feel than samples prepared in Examples 1 and 2, probably due, in part, to the greater amount of Irganox® 1076, which has a plasticizing effect on the formulation.

#### Example 4

A 1000 mL RB flask was charged with 400g DCPD (Velsicol UHP), 25g Ethanox® 702 (Albemarle), 50g polybutadiene (Aldrich, 38,369-4), and a magnetic stir-bar. The mixture was stirred and heated to 35°C to yield a clear light yellow solution. A separate 100 mL RB flask was charged with 50g DCPD (Velsicol UHP), 750mg triphenylphosphine, and a magnetic stir-bar. The mixture was stirred and heated to 35°C to yield a clear colorless solution. To this latter solution was added (with stirring) 600mg bis(tricyclohexylphosphine) benzylidene ruthenium dichloride (sieved through a 45 mesh size sieve). After approximately 5 minutes, the mixture evolved a clear dark amber/purple color. This solution was then added to the solution in the 500 mL RB flask with continued stirring. After approximately 3 minutes, the mixture turned into a clear amber solution. The resin solution was then poured into a mold that had been previously formed into the shape of a golf club head. The mold had been heated to approximately 40°C prior to the addition of the resin. Within 30 minutes, the resin appeared to be gelled and within 1 hour the molded golf club head was removed from the mold. The golf club head was then subjected to a post-cure at 130° for a period of 1 hour and cooled to ambient temperature before a golf club shaft was attached thereto. This club head exhibited a softer feel than Example 3 because

of the addition of polybutadiene. Additionally, when this formulation was poured into small (<50 mL) sample containers, gelation was accompanied by phase separation. Interestingly, upon post-cure (130°C for 1 hour), the golf club head was homogenous in appearance, despite the inclusion of polybutadiene in the formulation.

5

#### Example 5

A 1000 mL RB flask was charged with 400g DCPD (Ultrane®97 from B.F. Goodrich), 25g Ethanox®702 (Albemarle), 50g polybutadiene (Aldrich, 38,369-4) and a magnetic stir-bar. The mixture was stirred and heated to 35°C to yield a clear light yellow solution. A separate 100 mL RB flask was charged with 50g DCPD  
10 (Ultrane®97 from B.F. Goodrich), 750mg triphenylphosphine, and a magnetic stir-bar. The mixture was stirred and heated to 35°C to yield a clear colorless solution. To this latter solution was added (with stirring) 600mg bis(tricyclohexylphosphine) benzylidene ruthenium dichloride (sieved through a 45 mesh size sieve). After approximately 5 minutes, the mixture evolved a clear dark amber/purple color. This  
15 solution was then added to the solution in the 500 mL RB flask with continued stirring. After approximately 3 minutes, the mixture turned into a clear amber solution. The resin solution was then poured into a mold that had been previously formed into the shape of a golf club head. The mold had been heated to approximately 40°C prior to the addition of the resin. Within 30 minutes, the resin  
20 appeared to be gelled and within 1 hour the molded golf club head was removed from the mold. The golf club head was then subjected to a post-cure at 130°C for a period of 1 hour and cooled to ambient temperature before a golf club shaft was attached thereto. This club head exhibited a softer feel (similar to the sample from Example 4) due to the addition of polybutadiene. Additionally, when this formulation was then  
25 poured into small (<50ml) sample containers, gelation was accompanied by phase separation. Interestingly, upon post-cure (130°C for 1 hour), the golf club head was homogenous in appearance, despite the inclusion of polybutadiene in the formulation.

#### Example 6

A 1000 mL RB flask was charged with 400g DCPD (Ultrane®99 from B.F. Goodrich), 25g Ethanox®702 (Albemarle), 50g polybutadiene (Aldrich, 38,369-4) and  
30



a magnetic stir-bar. The mixture was stirred and heated to 35°C to yield a clear light yellow solution. A separate 100 mL RB flask was charged with 50g DCPD (Ultrane<sup>®</sup>99 from B.F. Goodrich), 750mg triphenylphosphine, and a magnetic stir-bar. The mixture was stirred and heated to 35°C to yield a clear colorless solution. To this  
5 latter solution was added (with stirring) 600mg bis(tricyclohexylphosphine) benzylidene ruthenium dichloride (sieved through a 45 mesh size sieve). After approximately 5 minutes, the mixture evolved a clear dark amber/purple color. This solution was then added to the solution in the 500 mL RB flask with continued stirring. After approximately 3 minutes, the mixture turned into a clear amber  
10 solution. The resin solution was then poured into a mold that had been previously formed into the shape of a golf club head. The mold had been heated to approximately 40°C prior to the addition of the resin. Within 30 minutes, the resin appeared to be gelled and within 1 hour the molded golf club head was removed from the mold. The golf club head was then subjected to a post-cure at 130°C for a period  
15 of 1 hour and cooled to ambient temperature before a golf club shaft was attached thereto. This club head exhibited a softer feel (similar to the sample from Example 4) because of the addition of polybutadiene. Additionally, when this formulation was then poured into small (<50ml) sample containers, gelation was accompanied by  
phase separation. Interestingly, upon post-cure (130°C for 1 hour), the golf club head  
20 was homogenous in appearance, despite the inclusion of polybutadiene in the formulation.

#### Example 7

A 1000 mL RB flask was charged with 400g DCPD (Lyondell 108, filtered through activated alumina), 25g Ethanox<sup>®</sup>702 (Albemarle), 50g polybutadiene  
25 (Aldrich, 38,369-4) and a magnetic stir-bar. The mixture was stirred and heated to 35°C to yield a clear light yellow solution. A separate 100 mL RB flask was charged with 50g DCPD (Lyondell 108, filtered through activated alumina), 750mg triphenylphosphine, and a magnetic stir-bar. The mixture was stirred and heated to 35°C to yield a clear colorless solution. To this latter solution was added (with  
30 stirring) 600mg bis(tricyclohexylphosphine) benzylidene ruthenium dichloride (sieved through a 45 mesh size sieve). After approximately 5 minutes, the mixture evolved a

clear dark amber/purple color. This solution was then added to the solution in the 500 mL RB flask with continued stirring. After approximately 3 minutes, the mixture turned into a clear amber solution. The resin solution was then poured into a mold that had been previously formed into the shape of a golf club head. The mold had been heated to approximately 40°C prior to the addition of the resin. Within 30 minutes, the resin appeared to be gelled and within 1 hour the molded golf club head was removed from the mold. The golf club head was then subjected to a post-cure at 130°C for a period of 1 hour and cooled to ambient temperature before a golf club shaft was attached thereto. This club head exhibited a softer feel (similar to the sample from Example 4) because of the addition of polybutadiene. Additionally, when this formulation was then poured into small (<50ml) sample containers, gelation was accompanied by phase separation. Interestingly, upon post-cure (130°C for 1 hour), the golf club head was homogenous in appearance, despite the inclusion of polybutadiene in the formulation.

15

#### Example 8

A 1000 mL RB flask was charged with 400g DCPD (Hitachi 99), 25g Ethanox®702 (Albemarle), 50g polybutadiene (Aldrich, 38,369-4) and a magnetic stir-bar. The mixture was stirred and heated to 35°C to yield a clear light yellow solution. A separate 100 mL RB flask was charged with 50g DCPD (Hitachi high purity), 750mg triphenylphosphine, and a magnetic stir-bar. The mixture was stirred and heated to 35°C to yield a clear colorless solution. To this latter solution was added (with stirring) 600mg bis(tricyclohexylphosphine) benzyldene ruthenium dichloride (sieved through a 45 mesh size sieve). After approximately 5 minutes, the mixture evolved a clear dark amber/purple color. This solution was then added to the solution in the 500 mL RB flask with continued stirring. After approximately 3 minutes, the mixture turned into a clear amber solution. The resin solution was then poured into a mold that had been previously formed into the shape of a golf club head. The mold had been heated to approximately 40°C prior to the addition of the resin. Within 30 minutes, the resin appeared to be gelled and within 1 hour the molded golf club head was removed from the mold. The golf club head was then subjected to a post-cure at 130°C for a period of 1 hour and cooled to ambient temperature before a golf club

30

shaft was attached thereto. This club head exhibited a softer feel (similar to the sample from Example 4) because of the addition of polybutadiene. Additionally, when this formulation was then poured into small (<50ml) sample containers, gelation was accompanied by phase separation. Interestingly, upon post-cure (130°C for 1  
5 hour), the golf club head was homogenous in appearance, despite the inclusion of polybutadiene in the formulation.

#### Example 9

A 1000 mL RB flask was charged with 250g DCPD (Velsicol UHP), 15g Ethanox®702 (Albemarle), and a magnetic stir-bar. The mixture was stirred and  
10 heated to 35°C to yield a clear light yellow solution. A separate 100 mL RB flask was charged with 50g DCPD (Velsicol UHP), 280mg triphenylphosphine, and a magnetic stir-bar. The mixture was stirred and heated to 35°C to yield a clear colorless solution. To this latter solution was added (with stirring) 300mg  
15 bis(tricyclohexylphosphine) benzyldiene ruthenium dichloride (sieved through a 45 mesh size sieve). After approximately 5 minutes, the mixture evolved a clear dark amber/purple color. This solution was then added to the solution in the 500 mL RB flask with continued stirring. After approximately 3 minutes, the mixture turned into  
20 a clear amber solution. The resin solution was then poured into a mold that had been previously formed into the shape of a golf club head. The mold had been heated to approximately 40°C prior to the addition of the resin. Within 30 minutes, the resin appeared to be gelled and within 1 hour the molded golf club head was removed from the mold. The golf club head was then subjected to a post-cure at 130°C for a period of 1 hour and cooled to ambient temperature before a golf club shaft was attached thereto.

25

#### Example 10

A 1000 mL RB flask was charged with 250g DCPD (Velsicol UHP), 15g Ethanox®702 (Albemarle), 1.5g Tinuvin®213 (Ciba) and a magnetic stir-bar. The mixture was stirred and heated to 35°C to yield a clear light yellow solution. A  
separate 100 mL RB flask was charged with 50g DCPD (Velsicol UHP), 280mg  
30 triphenylphosphine, and a magnetic stir-bar. The mixture was stirred and heated to

35°C to yield a clear colorless solution. To this latter solution was added (with stirring) 300mg bis(tricyclohexylphosphine) benzyldiene ruthenium dichloride (sieved through a 45 mesh size sieve). After approximately 5 minutes, the mixture evolved a clear dark amber/purple color. This solution was then added to the solution in the 500 mL RB flask with continued stirring. After approximately 3 minutes, the mixture turned into a clear amber solution. The resin solution was then poured into a mold that had been previously formed into the shape of a golf club head. The mold had been heated to approximately 40°C prior to the addition of the resin. Within 30 minutes, the resin appeared to be gelled and within 1 hour the molded golf club head was removed from the mold. The golf club head was then subjected to a post-cure at 130°C for a period of 1 hour and cooled to ambient temperature before a golf club shaft was attached thereto.

#### Example 11

A 1000 mL RB flask was charged with 250g DCPD (Velsicol UHP), 15g Ethanox<sup>®</sup>702 (Albemarle), 0.3g Ferro Corp. red pigment (34-51084) and a magnetic stir-bar. The mixture was stirred and heated to 35°C to yield a clear light yellow solution. A separate 100 mL RB flask was charged with 50g DCPD (Velsicol UHP), 280mg triphenylphosphine, and a magnetic stir-bar. The mixture was stirred and heated to 35°C to yield a clear colorless solution. To this latter solution was added (with stirring) 300mg bis(tricyclohexylphosphine) benzyldiene ruthenium dichloride (sieved through a 45 mesh size sieve). After approximately 5 minutes, the mixture evolved a clear dark amber/purple color. This solution was then added to the solution in the 500 mL RB flask with continued stirring. After approximately 3 minutes, the mixture turned into a clear amber solution. The resin solution was then poured into a mold that had been previously formed into the shape of a golf club head. The mold had been heated to approximately 40°C prior to the addition of the resin. Within 30 minutes, the resin appeared to be gelled and within 1 hour the molded red golf club head was removed from the mold. The golf club head was then subjected to a post-cure at 130°C for a period of 1 hour and cooled to ambient temperature before a golf club shaft was attached thereto.

### Example 12

A 1000 mL RB flask was charged with 250g DCPD (Velsicol UHP), 15g Ethanox<sup>®</sup>702 (Albemarle), 3g dodecamethylpentasiloxane (Rhone-Poulenc) and a magnetic stir-bar. The mixture was stirred and heated to 35°C to yield a clear light yellow solution. A separate 100 mL RB flask was charged with 50g DCPD (Velsicol UHP), 280mg triphenylphosphine, and a magnetic stir-bar. The mixture was stirred and heated to 35°C to yield a clear colorless solution. To this latter solution was added (with stirring) 300mg bis(tricyclohexylphosphine) benzylidene ruthenium dichloride (sieved through a 45 mesh size sieve). After approximately 5 minutes, the mixture evolved a clear dark amber/purple color. This solution was then added to the solution in the 500 mL RB flask with continued stirring. After approximately 3 minutes, the mixture turned into a clear amber solution. The resin solution was then poured into a mold that had been previously formed into the shape of a golf club head. The mold had been heated to approximately 40°C prior to the addition of the resin. Within 30 minutes, the resin appeared to be gelled and within 1 hour the molded golf club head was removed from the mold. The golf club head was then subjected to a post-cure at 130°C for a period of 1 hour and cooled to ambient temperature before a golf club shaft was attached thereto.

### Example 13

A 500 mL RB flask was charged with 250g DCPD monomer (B.F. Goodrich Ultrane<sup>®</sup> 99), 9g Ethanox<sup>®</sup> 702 antioxidant (Albemarle Corporation), and a magnetic stir bar. The mixture was stirred and heated to 35°C to yield a clear light yellow solution. A separate 100 mL RB flask was charged with 50g DCPD monomer (B.F. Goodrich), 0.3 g triphenylphosphine (TPP) inhibitor, and a magnetic stir bar. The mixture was stirred and heated to 35°C to yield a clear colorless solution. To this latter solution was added (with stirring) 0.37g bis(tricyclohexylphosphine) benzylidene ruthenium dichloride metathesis catalyst (sieved through a 45 mesh size sieve). After approximately 1 minute, the mixture evolved a clear dark amber/purple color. This solution was then added to the solution in the 500 mL RB flask with continued stirring. After approximately 2 minutes, the mixture turned into a clear amber solution. The resin solution was then poured into a mold that had been

previously formed into the shape of a golf club head. The mold had been heated to approximately 50°C prior to the addition of the resin. Within 30 minutes, the resin appeared to be gelled and within 1 hour the molded golf club head was removed from the mold and allowed to cool for 12 hours. The golf club head was then subjected to a post-cure at 130°C for a period of 1 hour and cooled to ambient temperature before a golf club shaft was attached thereto.

#### Example 14

A 500 mL RB flask was charged with 235g DCPD monomer (B.F. Goodrich), 9g Ethanox® 702 (Albemarle Corp.), 15g norbornene (Aldrich), 5g dioctyl phthalate (Aldrich), and a magnetic stir bar. The mixture was stirred and heated to 45°C to yield a clear light yellow solution. A separate 100 mL RB flask was charged with 50g DCPD monomer (B.F. Goodrich), 0.3g triphenylphosphine (TPP), and a magnetic stir bar. This latter mixture was stirred and heated to 35°C to yield a clear colorless solution. To this solution, 0.37g bis(tricyclohexylphosphine) benzyldiene ruthenium dichloride metathesis catalyst (sieved through a 45 mesh size sieve) was added with stirring. After approximately 1 minute, the mixture became a clear dark amber/purple color. This solution was then added to the solution in the 500 mL RB flask with continued stirring. After approximately 2 minutes, the mixture turned into a clear amber solution. The solution was then poured into a mold that had been previously formed into the shape of a golf ball. The mold had been heated to approximately 50°C prior to the addition of the resin. Within 30 minutes, the resin appeared to be gelled and within 1 hour the molded golf ball was removed from the mold and allowed to cool for 12 hours. The golf ball was then subjected to a post-cure at 130°C for a period of 1 hour and cooled to ambient temperature. This formulation was slightly softer than that of Example 13, probably due, in part, to the inclusion of dioctyl phthalate.

#### Example 15

A 500 mL RB flask was charged with 235g DCPD monomer (B.F. Goodrich), 9g Ethanox® 702 (Albemarle Corp.), 15g polybutadiene (Aldrich; 3000 MW), and a magnetic stir bar. The mixture was stirred and heated to 35°C to yield a clear light

yellow solution. A separate 100 mL RB flask was charged with 50g DCPD monomer (B.F. Goodrich), 0.3g triphenylphosphine, and a magnetic stir bar. The latter mixture was stirred and heated to 35°C to yield a clear colorless solution. To this solution, 0.37g bis(tricyclohexylphosphine) benzyldiene ruthenium dichloride metathesis catalyst (sieved through a 45 mesh size sieve) was added with stirring. After approximately 1 minute, the mixture evolved a clear dark amber/purple color. This solution was then added to the solution in the 500 mL RB flask with continued stirring. After approximately 2 minutes, the mixture turned into a clear amber solution. The clear amber resin solution was then poured into a mold that had been previously formed into the shape of a golf ball. The mold had been heated to approximately 50 °C prior to the addition of the resin. Within 30 minutes, the resin appeared to be gelled and within 1 hour the molded golf ball was removed from the mold and allowed to cool for 12 hours. The golf ball was then subjected to a post-cure at 130°C for a period of 1 hour and cooled to ambient temperature. This formulation was similar in softness to that of Example 14 above, with the addition of polybutadiene instead of dioctyl phthalate.

#### Example 16

A 500 mL RB flask was charged with 220g DCPD monomer (B.F. Goodrich), 9g Ethanox<sup>®</sup> 702 (Albemarle Corp.), 30g polybutadiene (Aldrich; 5000 MW), 0.6g black pigment (Ferro) and a magnetic stir bar. The mixture was stirred and heated to 35°C to yield a black solution. A separate 100 mL RB flask was charged with 50g DCPD monomer (B.F. Goodrich), 0.3g triphenylphosphine, and a magnetic stir bar. The latter mixture was stirred and heated to 35°C to yield a clear colorless solution. To this solution, 0.37g bis(tricyclohexylphosphine) benzyldiene ruthenium dichloride metathesis catalyst (sieved through a 45 mesh size sieve) was added with stirring. After approximately 1 minute, the mixture had a clear dark amber/purple color. This solution was then added to the solution in the 500 mL RB flask with continued stirring. After approximately 2 minutes, the mixture was a dark green/black solution. The resin solution was then poured into a mold that had been previously formed into the shape of a golf ball. The mold had been heated to approximately 50°C prior to the addition of the resin. Within 30 minutes, the resin appeared to be gelled and within 1

hour the molded golf ball was removed from the mold and allowed to cool for 12 hours. The golf ball was then subjected to a post-cure at 130°C for a period of 1 hour and cooled to ambient temperature. This formulation was similar in softness to that in Example 14, in addition to being opaque black rather than clear amber.

5

#### Example 17

A 500 mL RB flask was charged with 150g DCPD monomer (B.F. Goodrich), 9g Ethanox<sup>®</sup> 702 (Albemarle Corp.), 135g polybutadiene (Aldrich; 3000 MW), 15g 1,5-cyclooctadiene (Aldrich), 6g t-butyl peroxide (Aldrich), and a magnetic stir bar. The mixture was stirred and heated to 35°C to yield a clear light yellow solution. A  
10 separate 100 mL RB flask was charged with 50g DCPD monomer (B.F. Goodrich), 0.3g triphenylphosphine (0.3 g), and a magnetic stir bar. This latter mixture was stirred and heated to 35°C to yield a clear colorless solution. To this solution, 0.6g bis(tricyclohexylphosphine) benzyldiene ruthenium dichloride metathesis catalyst (sieved through a 45 mesh size sieve) was added with stirring. After approximately 1  
15 minute, the mixture had a clear dark amber/purple color. This solution was then added to the solution in the 500 mL RB flask with continued stirring. After approximately 2 minutes, the mixture turned into a clear amber solution. The resin solution was then poured into a mold that had been previously formed into the shape of a golf ball. The mold had been heated to approximately 50°C prior to the addition  
20 of the resin. Within 30 minutes, the resin appeared to be gelled and within 2 hours the molded golf ball was removed from the mold and allowed to cool for 12 hours. The golf ball was then subjected to a post-cure at 130°C for a period of 1 hour and cooled to ambient temperature. This formulation was much softer than that of Example 14, probably due, in part, to the inclusion of a greater amount of polybutadiene than  
25 previous Examples and/or the inclusion of the co-monomer cyclooctadiene. However, this formulation had a slight odor.

#### Example 18

A 500 mL RB flask was charged with 220g DCPD monomer (B.F. Goodrich), 9g Ethanox<sup>®</sup> 702 (Albemarle Corp.), 15g polyisoprene (Aldrich; 38,000 MW), 15g  
30 cis-cyclooctene (Avocado), and a magnetic stir bar. The mixture was stirred and



heated to 35°C to yield a yellow solution. A separate 100 mL RB flask was charged with 50g DCPD monomer (B.F. Goodrich), 0.3g triphenylphosphine, and a magnetic stir bar. This latter mixture was stirred and heated to 35°C to yield a clear colorless solution. To this solution, 0.37g bis(tricyclohexylphosphine) benzyldiene ruthenium dichloride metathesis catalyst (sieved through a 45 mesh size sieve) was added with stirring. After approximately 1 minute, the mixture had a clear dark amber/purple color. This solution was then added to the solution in the 500 mL RB flask with continued stirring. After approximately 2 minutes, the mixture was an amber solution. The resin solution was then poured into a mold that had been previously formed into the shape of a golf ball. The mold had been heated to approximately 50°C prior to the addition of the resin. Within 30 minutes, the resin appeared to be gelled and within 1 hour the molded golf ball was removed from the mold and allowed to cool for 12 hours. The golf ball was then subjected to a post-cure at 130°C for a period of 1 hour and cooled to ambient temperature. This formulation was similar in softness to that of Example 14, probably due to the inclusion of polyisoprene and/or the co-monomer cis-cyclooctene. This formulation was yellow in appearance.

#### Example 19

A 250 mL RB flask was charged with 80g DCPD monomer (B.F. Goodrich), 3g Ethanox<sup>®</sup> 702 (Albemarle Corp.), 3g poly(dimethylsiloxane) (Shin Etsu DMF-50), and a magnetic stir bar. The mixture was stirred and heated to 35°C to yield a clear light yellow solution. A separate 50 mL RB flask was charged with 20g DCPD monomer (B.F. Goodrich), 0.1g triphenylphosphine, and a magnetic stir bar. This latter mixture was stirred and heated to 35°C to yield a clear colorless solution. To this solution, 0.14g bis(tricyclopentylphosphine) dimethylvinylmethylidene ruthenium dichloride metathesis catalyst (sieved through a 45 mesh size sieve) was added with stirring. After approximately 1 minute, the mixture had a clear dark amber/purple color. This solution was then added to the solution in the 250 mL RB flask with continued stirring. After approximately 2 minutes, the mixture turned into a clear amber solution. The resin solution was then poured into a mold with cavities formed into shapes appropriate for both DTUL and Izod measurements. The mold had been

heated to approximately 40°C prior to the addition of the resin. Within 30 minutes, the resin appeared to be gelled and within 1 hour the mold was removed from the oven and allowed to cool for 12 hours. The parts were then subjected to an in-mold post-cure at 130°C for a period of 1 hour and cooled to ambient temperature. After  
5 appropriate preparation and conditioning, these parts displayed a notched Izod strength of 4.24 ft.-lb./in. and a DTUL (264 psi) of 136°C. The toughness of these parts was probably due, in part, to the inclusion of poly(dimethylsiloxane) in the formulation.

#### Example 20

10 A resin was prepared and cast as in Example 19, but using 3g poly(diphenylsiloxane) (Shin Etsu F-5W-O-100) in place of the poly(dimethylsiloxane). After appropriate preparation and conditioning, these parts displayed a notched Izod strength of 3.24 ft.-lb./in and a DTUL (264 psi) of 139°C.

#### Example 21

15 Using the same general procedure set forth in Example 13 above, two batches of resin were prepared containing:

- A) 67g DCPD monomer (B.F. Goodrich), 28g polybutadiene (Aldrich; 3000 MW), 2.8g cis-cyclooctene (Avocado), 1g t-butyl peroxide (Aldrich), 0.33g black pigment (Ferro), 0.1g triphenylphosphine, and  
20 0.124g bis(tricyclohexylphosphine) benzyldiene ruthenium dichloride metathesis catalyst (sieved through a 45 mesh size sieve); and
- B) 50g DCPD monomer (B.F. Goodrich), 1.5g Ethanox<sup>®</sup> 702 (Albemarle Corp.), 0.33g black pigment (Ferro), 300g tungsten powder (Teledyne Advanced Materials; 150 mesh), 0.05g triphenylphosphine, and 0.124g  
25 bis(tricyclohexylphosphine) benzyldiene ruthenium dichloride metathesis catalyst (sieved through a 45 mesh size sieve).

A mold that had been previously formed into the shape of a golf putter head was heated to approximately 50°C. The black liquid resin A was then poured into the mold, filling it to within approximately one inch of the top (face of the putter head).  
30 Within 30 minutes, resin A appeared to be gelled and within 1 hour resin B, a viscous

black liquid, was then poured into the mold on top of gelled resin A, filling the mold completely. After 1 hour, the golf putter head was demolded and allowed to cool for 12 hours. The golf putter head was then subjected to a post-cure at 130°C for a period of 1 hour and cooled to ambient temperature. The resulting face-weighted putter head  
5 massed 350g and displayed a surface hardness of D50 (Shore).

#### Example 22

Resins A and B were prepared as in Example 21 above. In this case, however, the golf putter mold was completely filled with resin A and, after gelling 1 h in the mold, the putter head was demolded and allowed to cool for 12 hours. A portion of  
10 each of the heel and toe areas of the putter was removed, and the remainder of the part reinserted into the mold, which was then preheated to approximately 50°C. Resin B was then poured into the mold, filling in the voids created by the removal of the heel and toe sections of the putter head. After 1 hour, the part was demolded and allowed to cool for 12 hours. The putter head was then subjected to a post-cure at 130°C for a  
15 period of 1 hour and cooled to ambient temperature. The resulting heel/toe perimeter-weighted putter head weighed 300g and displayed a surface hardness of D50 (Shore).

#### Example 23

A putter head was prepared as described in Example 22 above, but not subjected to post-cure. After demolding, approximately 1" of the non-tungsten-filled  
20 plastic was removed from the face of the putter. The putter head was reinserted into the mold and the mold was then heated to approximately 50°C. In a 100 mL RB flask a resin was prepared containing 50g DCPD monomer (B.F. Goodrich), 1.5g Ethanox® 702 (Albemarle Corp.), 10g aluminum powder (Alfa Aesar; 3 micron), 0.05g triphenylphosphine, and 0.062g bis(tricyclohexylphosphine) benzyldiene ruthenium  
25 dichloride metathesis catalyst (sieved through a 45 mesh size sieve). The fresh resin was then poured into the mold, thereby filling in the void created by the prior removal of the non-tungsten-filled plastic material from the putter face. Within 30 minutes, the aluminum-filled resin appeared to be gelled and within 1 hour the molded putter head was removed from the mold and allowed to cool for 12 hours. The putter head  
30 was then subjected to a post-cure at 130°C for a period of 1 hour and cooled to

ambient temperature before a shaft was attached thereto. The overall mass and weighting characteristics of the resulting putter were similar to those of the putter in Example 21, but with a significantly softer and more solid sound and feel when used to strike (putt) a golf ball.

5

#### Example 24

A 5L RB flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar and a gas inlet adapter was charged with 2250g DCPD monomer (B.F. Goodrich), 67.5g Ethanox<sup>®</sup> 702 (Albemarle Corp.), 4.5g triphenylphosphine, and 2.497g bis(tricyclopentylphosphine) dimethylvinylmethylidene ruthenium dichloride metathesis catalyst (sieved through a 45 mesh size sieve). Glass microspheres (3M; K25 grade, 720g) that had been dried at 130°C for 6 hours were gradually added to the resin in the 5L RB flask with stirring, resulting in a pale yellow mixture with the viscosity of lightly whipped cream. This resin mixture was degassed *in vacuo* to remove any trapped air bubbles (~20 min.) and then poured into a rectangular mold that had been preheated to 40°C. The part was cured in the mold at 40°C for 12 hours, then post-cured in the mold for 40 min. at 130°C, then for an additional 20 min. at 150°C. After cooling to ambient temperature, the demolded panel was found to be essentially void-free and had a density of about 34 lb./ft<sup>3</sup>. After appropriate machining and conditioning, this material displayed a DTUL (264 psi) of 130°C, Izod strengths of 0.965 ft.-lb./in (unnotched) and 0.329 ft.-lb./in (notched), a compressive strength of 10,000 psi and a compressive modulus of 250,000. These characteristics were probably due, in part, to the inclusion of glass microspheres in the formulation.

10

15

20

#### Example 25

In the same manner as Example 24 was prepared a resin comprising: 200g DCPD monomer (B.F. Goodrich), 6g Ethanox<sup>®</sup> 702 (Albemarle Corp.), 6g poly(dimethylsiloxane) (Shin Etsu DMF-50), 0.4g triphenylphosphine, and 0.22g bis(tricyclopentylphosphine) dimethylvinylmethylidene ruthenium dichloride metathesis catalyst (sieved through a 45 mesh size sieve), and 74g glass microspheres (3M; K25 grade). The syntactic foam of this Example displayed an unnotched Izod

25

strength of 2.4 ft.-lb./in., probably as a result, in part, of the inclusion of poly(dimethylsiloxane) in the formulation.

What is claimed is:

1. A composition comprising:  
a polyolefin prepared by the metathesis of an olefin monomer using a  
ruthenium or osmium carbene catalyst; and  
5 one or more toughness and/or hardness modulators.
2. The composition of claim 1 wherein the polyolefin is poly-DCPD.
3. The composition of claim 1 wherein the one or more toughness  
modulators comprises a silicone.
4. The composition of claim 3 wherein the silicone is a polysiloxane.
- 10 5. The composition of claim 4 wherein the polysiloxane is a  
poly(dimethylsiloxane) or a poly(diphenylsiloxane).
6. The composition of claim 2 wherein the one or more toughness  
modulators is present in an amount between about 0.1% and about 20% by weight of  
the olefin monomer.
- 15 7. The composition of claim 6 wherein the one or more toughness  
modulators is present in an amount between about 0.5% and about 10% by weight of  
the olefin monomer.
8. The composition of claim 7 wherein the one or more toughness  
modulators is present in an amount between about 1% and about 5% by weight of the  
20 olefin monomer.
9. The composition of claim 1 wherein the one or more hardness  
modulators comprises polybutadiene or polyisoprene.
10. The composition of claim 1 wherein the one or more hardness  
modulators is a plasticizer.
- 25 11. The composition of claim 11 wherein the plasticizer is dioctyl  
phthalate.
12. The composition of claim 1 wherein the one or more hardness  
modulators comprises a carboxylic acid or a salt thereof.

13. The composition of claim 1 wherein the one or more hardness modulators comprises a co-monomer selected from the group consisting of norbornene, cyclooctadiene, cyclohexenylnorbornene, norbornadiene, cyclopentene and methylcyclopentene.

5 14. The composition of claim 2 wherein the one or more hardness modulators is present in an amount between about 0.1% and about 60% by weight of the olefin monomer.

15 15. The composition of claim 14 wherein the one or more hardness modulators is present in an amount between about 1% and about 20% by weight of the olefin monomer.

16. The composition of claim 15 wherein the one or more hardness modulators is present in an amount between about 2% and about 10% by weight of the olefin monomer.

15 17. The composition of claim 1 further comprising one or more density modulators.

18. An article of manufacture comprising:  
a polyolefin prepared by the metathesis of an olefin monomer using a ruthenium or osmium carbene catalyst; and  
one or more toughness and/or hardness modulators.

20 19. The article of manufacture of claim 18 wherein the article is a molded part.

20. The article of manufacture of claim 19 wherein the polyolefin is poly-DCPD.

25 21. The article of manufacture of claim 18 further comprising one or more density modulators.

22. The article of manufacture of claim 20 wherein the molded part is a golf club head.

23. The article of manufacture of claim 20 wherein the molded part is a golf club shaft.

24. The article of manufacture of claim 20 wherein the molded part is a baseball bat.



## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.  
PCT/US99/10910

<b>A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER</b>		
IPC(6) : C08F 4/20, 32/04, 32/08 US CL : 526/90, 91, 92, 93, 170, 171, 172, 183, 280, 281, 283 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC		
<b>B. FIELDS SEARCHED</b>		
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S. : 526/90, 91, 92, 93, 170, 171, 172, 183, 280, 281, 283		
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched		
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)		
<b>C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT</b>		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US 5,342,909 A (GRUBBS et al) 30 August 1994, see entire document.	1-24
Y	US 5,312,940 A (GRUBBS et al) 17 May 1994, see entire document.	1-24
Y	US 5,171,776 A (KHASAT) 15 December 1992, see abstract.	1-24
Y	US 4,485,208 A (KLOSIEWICZ) 27 November 1984, see examples.	1-24
<input type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. <input type="checkbox"/> See patent family annex.		
* Special categories of cited documents:	*T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance *B* earlier document published on or after the international filing date *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art *A* document member of the same patent family	
Date of the actual completion of the international search 10 AUGUST 1999		Date of mailing of the international search report 30 AUG 1999
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 : Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230		Authorized officer BERNARD LIPMAN Telephone No. (703) 308-0661

**THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)**